

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/869,900	. 07/06/2001	Hiroyuki Tanaka	Q65251	1270	
	7590 08/08/2003				
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak& Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			EXAMINER RAJGURU, UMAKANT K		
			1711	5	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ا ام				M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	et beneath the co	rrespondence addre	sș–
riod for Reply				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE F THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	G DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, a reply within the statuto fault, expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the applica	ry minimum of thirty (3 HS from the mailing dation to become ABAN	0) days will be considered ate of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	i timely. 1. 1.
tatus				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·•
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			o the merits is close	ed in
sposition of Claims				
Claim(s)	is/are p	_ is/are pending in the application.		
				eration.
□ Claim(s)				
Ø Claim(s) /──/S				
□ Claim(s)			•	
□ Claim(s)		are sub requirei		ection
pplication Papers — The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ appro	ved □ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ot	• •	• •	-	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.			
ri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	itv under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19 (a)–(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	, <u>.</u> .	(, (,-		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received in Applicat	ion No	•	
$\hfill\Box$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed		
in this national stage application from the Internation				
		<u> </u>		
*Certified copies not received:				
ta hment(s)	· No(s)	☐ Interview Sumr	nary, PTO-413	
tta hment(s) Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s).		nary, PTO-413 nal Patent Application	, PTO-15
*Certified copies not received: tta hment(s) Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	•	☐ Notice of Inform	-	

Application/Control Number: 09/869,900

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. An IDS (paper 4) has been filed on Nov 30, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are being examined.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishine et al (USP 6191233).

Kishine describes vulcanizable elastomer composition containing fluorinated triallylisocyanurates. Elastomer is a peroxide-curable elastomer (col. 2, lines 34-38). Fillers like metal oxides such as silicon oxide are used (col. 6, lines 31-35). Elastomers that are suitable are listed in col. 3, lines 16-29. They contain fluorine atoms. An organic peroxide is used for crosslinking (col. 2, line 38). The isocyanurate is shown by

Application/Control Number: 09/869,900

Art Unit: 1711

structural formula in col. 2, lines 21-30 and it reads on the one of instant claim 10. Composition is molded to form a suitable article (col. 7, lines 12-12).

It is noted that patentee does not disclose limitations of instant claims 3 and 12-18 as well as that of claim 1. It is the examiner's position it is a matter of choice of a skilled artisan depending upon the end use of the composition, to decide the extent of impurities that can be permitted and also the method of determining those impurities. As regards the limitations of instant claims 12-18, it is reasonable to infer that the composition of patentee satisfies these limitations inherently because the composition of patentee comprises claimed ingredients in amounts that are same as or overlap those that are claimed. Additionally it is obvious to choose and use a silicon oxide filler having a quartz crystal structure to ensure very high purity and therefore superior performance of molded article.

Therefore it would have been obvious to follow teachings of Kishine and arrive at instant invention.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague because it is not known what the (claimed) amount of filler (of not less than 60% by wt) is based on.

Same is the case with claim 12.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,900

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claims 4 and 5 depend from claim 1. Claim 1 requires the amount of filler of less than 60% by wt. Claims 4 and 5 on the contrary can have even 1 part of filler per 100 parts of elastomer. This means the amount of filler can be a less than 60% by wt.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

U. K. Rajguru/mn August 5, 2003

> James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700